

De Rham Cohomologies

Let M be a smooth manifold. The de Rham complex of M is

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^0 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega^2 \rightarrow \dots$$

where Ω^i is the space of i -forms.

The de Rham cohomology is

$$H_{dR}^i(M) = H_{dR}^i(M, \mathbb{R}) = \frac{\ker d^i}{\text{im } d^{i-1}}$$

De Rham Theorem

$$H_{dR}^i(M, \mathbb{R}) = H_{\text{sng}}^i(M, \mathbb{R})$$

Sheaf theoretic de Rham Theorem

$$H_{dR}^i(M, \mathbb{R}_M) = H^i(M, \mathbb{R}_M)$$

where \mathbb{R}_M is the constant sheaf.

Cohomology in the sense of derived functors

Let F be a sheaf of abelian groups on a topological space X .

The sheaf cohomology $H^i(X, \cdot)$ is the i -th derived functor of $\Gamma(X, \cdot)$

Computed as follows

1. Injective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

2. Apply $\Gamma(X, \cdot)$, and throw away $\Gamma(X, F)$

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, I^0) \xrightarrow{d} \Gamma(X, I^1) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

3. Take cohomology

$$H^i(X, F) = R^i \Gamma(X, F) = \frac{\ker d^i}{\ker d^{i-1}}.$$

There are many cohomology theories that compute $H^*(X, \underline{G}_X)$ for G abelian group.

Ex singular cohomology is given by a flasque (instead of injective) resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X \rightarrow C^0 \rightarrow C^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

but one can show $H^i(X, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_X) = H_{\text{sing}}^i(X, \mathbb{Q})$. [Godement]

Ex One way to generalize de Rham cohomology is given by a fine resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \underline{\mathbb{R}}_X \rightarrow A^0 \rightarrow A^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

We have $H^i(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_X) = H_{\text{dR}}^i(X, \mathbb{R})$ [Canning, Rossi]

Ex Čech cohomology $\check{H}^i(X, \underline{\mathbb{R}}_X) = H^i(X, \mathbb{B}_X)$ [Serre]

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold with Kähler differential Ω .

The Dolbeault cohomology is

$$H^{p,q}(X) = H^q(X, \Lambda^p \Omega)$$

Computed using $A^{p,q}$, differential forms of type p,q . locally given by

$$\sum f_{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q} dz_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{i_p} \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_{j_q}.$$

This gives a fine resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^p \rightarrow A^{p,0} \rightarrow A^{p,1} \rightarrow \dots$$

and its cohomology is $H^{p,q}(X)$.

Hodge theorem

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} H^{p,q}(X) \quad \text{with symmetry } H^{p,q}(X) = H^{q,p}(X)$$

Hypercohomology.

Let F^\bullet be a complex of sheaves on X

$$F^\bullet = [F^0 \xrightarrow{d} F^1 \xrightarrow{d} F^2 \xrightarrow{d} F^3 \xrightarrow{d} \dots], \quad d^2 = 0.$$

The (naive) cohomology of F^\bullet is $H^i(F^\bullet) = \frac{\ker d^i}{\text{im } d^{i-1}}$
 A map $\varphi: F^\bullet \rightarrow G^\bullet$ is a quasi-isomorphism if $H^i(F^\bullet) \xrightarrow{H^i(\varphi)} H^i(G^\bullet)$

We can also view F^\bullet as an object in the derived category

Then we can take the derived functor cohomology of F^\bullet as before :

1. Injective resolution

$$F^\bullet \xrightarrow{\varphi} I^\bullet \text{ s.t. } I^P \text{ are injective, } \varphi \text{ is quasi-isomorphism}$$

2. Apply $\Gamma(X, \cdot)$ and get

$$\Gamma(X, I^\bullet) \text{ a complex of abelian groups.}$$

3. Take cohomology.

$$H(X, F^\bullet) := H^i(\Gamma(X, I^\bullet))$$

This is called the hypercohomology. It can be computed by spectral sequence

$$E_1^{pq} = H^q(X, F^p) \Rightarrow H^*(X, F^\bullet)$$

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of topological spaces

$$\text{then } H^*(Y, Rf_* F) = H^*(X, F) \text{ for any sheaf } F.$$

$$\text{so we have spectral sequence } H^p(Y, R^q f_* F) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, F).$$

Suppose $U \xrightarrow{f} X$ with $X - U = D_1 \cup \dots \cup D_k$ simple normal crossing.

$$\text{Then } H^*(U, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_U) = H^*(X, Rf_* \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_U)$$

$\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_U$ has resolution $\underline{\mathbb{Q}}_U \rightarrow \Omega_U^0 \rightarrow \Omega_U^1 \rightarrow \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so we need } H^*(U, \underline{\mathbb{Q}}_U) &= H^*(U, [\Omega_U^0 \rightarrow \Omega_U^1 \rightarrow \dots]) \\ &= H^*(X, [f_* \Omega_U^0 \rightarrow f_* \Omega_U^1 \rightarrow \dots]) \end{aligned}$$

Define logarithmic poles $\Omega^p(\log D)$ to be sheaf on X with stalks

$$(\Omega_X^i(\log D))_x = \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \frac{dz_1}{z_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \frac{dz_k}{z_k} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X,x} dz_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X,x} dz_n.$$

$$\Omega_X^p(\log D) = \Lambda^p \Omega^1(\log D) \text{ where } D \text{ is locally given by } z_1 \cdots z_k = 0 \text{ near } x.$$

$$\text{Then } \exists \text{ quasiisomorphism} \\ \Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log D) \rightarrow f_* \Omega_{\bar{X}}^{\bullet}.$$

Hartshorne's Algebraic de Rham cohomology

Completions Let X be a noetherian scheme or complex analytic space.
 $Y \subseteq X$ a subscheme with ideal sheaf I .

The formal completion \hat{X} of X along Y .

is the locally ringed space

$$\hat{X} = (Y, \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_X/I^r).$$

Ex The formal completion of \mathbb{C}^n along 0 is

$$\text{spec } \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$$

it is topologically just the point $\{0\}$, but the sheaf keeps track of all Taylor series at 0 .

In general, think of formal completion as enriching Y with all infinitesimal data of X . similar to the normal bundle gives Y the linear data of X .

We have a closed embedding $i: \hat{X} \rightarrow X$.

If F is a coherent sheaf on X , then $\hat{F} := i^* F$ is the induced sheaf on \hat{X}

$$We have \quad i^* F \cong \varprojlim (F/I^r F)$$

so think of \hat{F} as "restriction of F to \hat{X} ".

Let Y be a finite type \mathbb{C} -scheme, $H^*(Y, \Omega_Y^{\bullet})$ does not retrieve $H^*(Y)$ in general.

The idea is that Ω_Y^{\bullet} does not contain enough infinitesimal information about Y .

Suppose $Y \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed embedding with X smooth, then we define

$$H_{\text{dR}}^*(Y) := H^*(\hat{X}, \hat{\Omega}_{\hat{X}}^{\bullet})$$

to be the algebraic de Rham cohomology

This definition is independent of $Y \hookrightarrow X$, and is natural in the sense that it is a contravariant functor, induces long exact sequences, etc.

Comparison theorem [Hartshorne]

\exists natural isomorphisms

$$H^i_{\text{dR}}(Y) \cong H^i_{\text{sing}}(Y, \mathbb{C})$$

Chiral de Rham cohomology

In the study of conformal field theory,

Malikov-Schechtman-Vaintrob defined the Chiral de Rham complex as a sheaf of vertex algebras $\Omega_X^{\text{ch.}}$ which is quasi-isomorphic to Ω_X^\bullet for X smooth.

For a D -module M , one associates a deRham complex

$$\text{DR}(M) = \text{Hom}_{\Omega_X}(\Omega^\bullet, M).$$

Let LX be the formal loop space of X , containing the arc space $L^+X \xhookrightarrow{i} LX$ then the chiral de Rham cohomology is

$$H_{\text{cdR}}^*(X) = H^*(\text{DR}(i_* \mathcal{W}_{L^+X}))$$

for X affine with étale map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^d$.

where i_* is the D -module pushforward.

Derived de Rham cohomology

Let B be a \mathbb{C} -algebra with standard simplicial resolution $P_\bullet \rightarrow B$.

Apply Ω^\bullet to P_\bullet we get a double complex Ω_P^\bullet .

The total complex is $L\Omega_B^\bullet$ the cotangent complex.

This is q.i to the trivial complex, so it is not useful.

To define the cohomology concretely, we need the Hodge filtration.

$$F^i \Omega_{P_+}^* = \bigoplus_{q \geq i} \Omega_{P_+}^q$$

Then the de Rham complex is taken to be

$$\begin{aligned} L\hat{\Omega}_B^* &:= \varprojlim L\Omega_B^*/F^N \\ &= \varprojlim T_\delta(L\Omega_{P_+}^{< N}) . \end{aligned}$$